Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/760,300	CHEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
SHANTELL HEIBER	2617

	SHANTELLHEIDER	2017		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat inally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee the action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u>			e appeal. Since a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	TE below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DT01 004	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Attachment.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. \(\sum \) Other: The applicant agreed during the phone interview to comparison to the prior art's process.	o follow up with examples of the cl	aimed invention proce	ess in	
Il paten Kinasidi				
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617				
oupervisory raterit Examiner, Art Offit 2017				